UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,282	12/22/2005	Christianus Hermanus Leopold Weijtens	DE030223	5688
	7590 06/18/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		PIZIALI, JEFFREY J		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/562,282	WEIJTENS, CHRISTIANUS HERMANUS LEOPOLD	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JEFF PIZIALI	2629	

	JEFF PIZIALI	2629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	unin the time period set forth in 37	CFR 41.37(a).	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor			
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		-	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-6 and 10-15. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 7-9 and 16-19.		l be entered and an ex	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Jeff Piziali/		
	Examiner, Art Unit 2629		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The Applicant is thanked for the Amendment (filed 12 June 2009).

However, if entered, the Applicant's proposed claim amendment(s) in the Amendment (filed 12 June 2009) would newly introduce at least the limitation(s):

"each group of the groups of display elements is connected to an output of one of the inverters, and at least one clock bus line to supply a first clock signal and a second clock signal, wherein a first set of the switches is closed with the first clock signal when a second set of the switches is opened with the second clock signal so that after application of a third clock signal to an input of the series arrangement, at least one of the groups of display elements is activated, wherein the display elements are arranged in rows, and wherein a number of connections to elements external to the display unit for controlling the display unit is 5 or 7" to independent claim 1 (see lines 7-17); and

"series arrangements between the display elements, wherein each of the series arrangements includes a first switch capable of connection to a first inverter and a second switch capable of connection to a second inverter... a third bus for a third clock for application to an input of one of the series arrangements so that groups of the display elements are consecutively activated, wherein the display elements are arranged in rows, and wherein a number of connections external to elements external to the display unit for controlling the display unit is 5 or 7" to independent claim 12 (see lines 3-6 and 15-20).

Such limitations (as well as amendments to dependent claims 2-6) if incorporated into present claim language would dramatically alter inventive scope of the claims, requiring additional search and consideration. Due to the proposed amendments not being entered, Applicant's arguments are not commensurate in scope with the current claims.

By such reasoning, non-entry of the proposed Amendment (filed 12 June 2009) is deemed proper and necessary at this time.

/Jeff Piziali/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2629 16 June 2009